Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/647,516	KOJIMA, HIDEAKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven S. Paik	2876
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Steven S. Paik</u> .	(3)	
(2) Christophe F. Lair (Reg. No. 54,248).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 September 2006	Time: <u>12:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 11, and 13		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
MD-		
(Examiner/8PE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/647,516

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's attorney agreed and authorized an examiner's amendment to amend claims as follows:

Re claim 1, change "process" with -- processor -- in line 17.

Re claim 3, change "process" with -- processor -- in line 17.

Re claim 11, change "process" with -- executing -- in line 14 and "processor" with - executing" in line 19.

Re claim 13, change "process" with -- executing -- in line 14...